1 STATE OF NEW HAMPSHIRE 2 PUBLIC UTILITIES COMMISSION 3 January 4, 2011 - 10:08 a.m. 4 Concord, New Hampshire 5 NHPUC JAN24'11 AM 8:45 6 RE: DW 10-159 7 WEST SWANZEY WATER COMPANY: Notice of Intent to File Rate Schedules. 8 9 PRESENT: Chairman Thomas B. Getz, Presiding 10 Commissioner Clifton C. Below Commissioner Amy L. Ignatius 11 12 Sandy Deno, Clerk 13 14 APPEARANCES: Reptg. West Swanzey Water Company: Stephen P. St. Cyr 15 Sarah Brown 16 Reptg. PUC Staff: Marcia A. B. Thunberg, Esq. 17 Mark A. Naylor, Direct/Gas & Water Division James L. Lenihan, Gas & Water Division 18 Jayson P. LaFlamme, Gas & Water Division Douglas W. Brogan, Gas & Water Division 19 20 21 22 23 Court Reporter: Steven E. Patnaude, LCR No. 52 24



1			
2		INDEX	
3			PAGE NO.
4	WITNESS PANEL:	STEPHEN P. ST. CYR JAYSON P. LaFLAMME	
5		JAMES L. LENIHAN	
6	Direct examination by Ms. Thunberg 5		
7	Interrogatories by	Cmsr. Below	24
8			
9		* * *	
10		EXHIBITS	
11	EXHIBIT NO.	DESCRIPTION	PAGE NO.
12		cimony of Stephen P. St. Cyr,	4
13	rate	uding exhibits and other case filing requirements 03-10)	
14			4
15	the	lement Agreement regarding Permanent Rate Filing 29-10)	4
16		Form (12-23-10)	4
17	2 BIII	. FORM (12-23-10)	4
18		* * *	
19	CLOSING STATEMENTS	BY:	
20		Ms. Thunberg	26
21		Mr. St. Cyr	27
22			
23			
24			

1 PROCEEDING

everyone. We'll open the hearing in Docket DW 10-159. On September 8, 2010, West Swanzey filed a request to increase permanent rates by 17.13 percent. And, an order was issued on October 7 suspending the tariffs and scheduling a prehearing conference, which was held on November 19th. Subsequently, a procedural schedule was approved, culminating in the hearing today. And, a Settlement Agreement was filed on December 29 for consideration this morning.

Can we take appearances please.

MR. ST. CYR: Good morning. My name is Stephen P. St. Cyr, and with me is Sarah Brown, representing the West Swanzey Water Company.

CHAIRMAN GETZ: Good morning.

MR. ST. CYR: Hi.

present the Settlement Agreement.

MS. THUNBERG: Good morning,

Commissioners. Marcia Thunberg, on behalf of Staff. And,
with me today is Mark Naylor, Jayson LaFlamme, Jim
Lenihan, and Doug Brogan. And, today, the Company and
Staff have coordinated our presentation and plan to have
Mr. Lenihan, Mr. LaFlamme, and Mr. St. Cyr on a panel to

{DW 10-159} {01-04-11}

Thank you.

1	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you. Ready to
2	proceed.
3	MS. THUNBERG: While the witnesses are
4	going to the witness box, I'd just like to announce that
5	by agreement we have marked or agreed to the premarking of
6	certain exhibits. Exhibit 1 will be the initial filing,
7	which I believe you have before you.
8	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Yes.
9	MS. THUNBERG: Exhibit 2 is going to be
10	the Settlement Agreement, which I believe you already have
11	a copy.
12	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Yes.
13	MS. THUNBERG: And, Exhibit 2 is going
14	to be a bill form, which you do not yes, this is I'm
15	sorry, did I say "3"? I meant the Settlement Agreement is
16	Exhibit 2, the bill form is Exhibit 3, and I will hand
17	this out to you at this point. And, I will have the
18	witnesses describe the documents on direct.
19	(The documents, as described, were
20	herewith marked as Exhibit 1 , Exhibit 2 ,
21	and Exhibit 3 , respectively, for
22	identification.)
23	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you.
24	(Whereupon Stephen P. St. Cyr, Jayson P.

1	LaFlamme, and James L. Lenihan were duly
2	sworn and cautioned by the Court
3	Reporter.)
4	STEPHEN P. ST. CYR, SWORN
5	JAYSON P. LaFLAMME, SWORN
6	JAMES L. LENIHAN, SWORN
7	DIRECT EXAMINATION
8	BY MS. THUNBERG:
9	Q. Mr. LaFlamme, if I could just start with you. Have you
10	describe state your name and describe your position
11	with the Commission please.
12	A. (LaFlamme) My name is Jayson LaFlamme. I work as a
13	Utility Analyst in the Gas/Water Division of the New
14	Hampshire Public Utilities Commission.
15	Q. And, can you please describe your area of expertise?
16	A. (LaFlamme) Accounting and finance.
17	Q. And, is the testimony that you will be providing today
18	within that area of expertise?
19	A. (LaFlamme) Yes, it is.
20	Q. And, can you please describe your specific involvement
21	with this docket?
22	A. (LaFlamme) Yes. I reviewed the filing submitted by the
23	Company, participated in submission of data requests,
24	reviewed the responses from the Company, also

- participated in the formulation of the Settlement
 Agreement that's being presented today.
- Q. Thank you. Mr. Lenihan, if I could just have you state your name and describe your position with the Commission for the record.
- A. (Lenihan) James L. Lenihan. I'm a Utility Analyst with
 the New Hampshire Public Utilities Commission in its

 Gas and Water Division.
- 9 Q. And, can you please describe your area of expertise?
- 10 A. (Lenihan) Reviewing -- I review dockets, water dockets
 11 that come in before the Commission, rate increases,
 12 specifically reviewing the cost allocations to the
 13 various customer classes; also special contracts that
 14 come before the Commission; and overall general tariff
 15 provisions that set out the guidelines under which
 16 service is provided.
- Q. And, Mr. Lenihan, is your testimony today going to be within that area of expertise?
- 19 A. (Lenihan) Yes, it is.
- Q. And, can you please describe your specific involvement with this docket?
- A. (Lenihan) I've reviewed the original filing,

 participated in the discovery process, participated in

 settlement conferences, and had the opportunity to

- review and participate in the preparation of the

 Settlement that's before the Commission today.
- Q. Okay. And, Mr. St. Cyr, could you please state your name and business for the record.
- 5 A. (St. Cyr) My name is Stephen P. St. Cyr. And, the business is Stephen P. St. Cyr & Associates.
- Q. And, how are you associated with the Company regarding this proceeding?
- 9 A. (St. Cyr) On an annual basis, I assist the Company in
 10 closing its financial books and records. I prepare the
 11 PUC Annual Report and prepare their tax returns. I
 12 also have assisted the Company in this particular
 13 docket.
 - Q. And, in assisting the Company with this particular docket, did that include the participation in the Settlement Agreement?

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

- A. (St. Cyr) Yes, it did. It involved preparing the initial filing from the books and records of the Company, assisting the Company in preparing and responding to data requests, participating in the technical session, the settlement conference, and the formulation of the Settlement Agreement.
- Q. And, when you state that you had "prepared filings", are you familiar with the contents of what we've marked

[WITNESS PANEL: St. Cyr~LaFlamme~Lenihan]

- for identification as "Exhibit 1"? The cover sheet
- 2 says "Testimony and Exhibits and other rate case filing
- 3 requirements".
- 4 A. (St. Cyr) Yes, I am.
- 5 Q. And, did you prepare this document?
- 6 A. (St. Cyr) Yes, I did.
- 7 Q. Are there any changes or corrections that need to be
- 8 made to this document?
- 9 A. (St. Cyr) No, there is not.
- 10 Q. Thank you. And, Mr. Lenihan, I believe you said you
- 11 had participated in the Settlement Agreement. Are you
- familiar with the terms of this document?
- 13 A. (Lenihan) Yes.
- 14 Q. And, Mr. LaFlamme, are you familiar with the terms of
- 15 the Settlement Agreement?
- 16 A. (LaFlamme) Yes.
- 17 Q. And, Mr. LaFlamme, are you familiar with any changes or
- 18 corrections that need to be made to the document at
- 19 this time?
- 20 A. (LaFlamme) No.
- 21 Q. And, Mr. Lenihan, the same question to you. Are you
- 22 aware of any changes or corrections that need to be
- 23 made to the Settlement Agreement at this time?
- 24 A. (Lenihan) No, not at this time.

[WITNESS PANEL: St. Cyr~LaFlamme~Lenihan]

- Q. And, Mr. St. Cyr, I have the same question to you.
- 2 A. (St. Cyr) No changes that I'm aware of.
- Q. Thank you. Mr. LaFlamme, I'd like to draw your

 attention to Exhibit 2, the Settlement Agreement, and

 have you turn to Page 2, the "Revenue Requirement"

 section.
- 7 A. (LaFlamme) Uh-huh.

1

8

- Q. And, I'd like to have you explain how it is that Staff came to agree to the \$67,015 revenue requirement?
- 10 (LaFlamme) Okay. The revenue requirement, the Α. 11 calculation of that would be found on Attachment A, Schedule 1, which is marked as "Page 7" to the 12 13 Settlement Agreement. And, you can find a summary of 14 the calculation of the revenue requirement, starting 15 with an average rate base of \$197,490, the calculation 16 of that is found on Schedule 2 of Attachment A. 17 Applied to the average rate base is a rate of return 18 5.27 percent. The calculation of that is found on Schedule la of Attachment A. That results in an 19 20 operating income requirement of \$10,398. The adjusted 21 net operating income, from Schedule 3, is subtracted 22 from that amount, resulting in a revenue deficiency before income taxes of \$1,826. The adjusted net 23 24 operating income was based on what the Company filed,

which included the Company's adjustment for additional revenue in this docket. So, that's why it resulted in a revenue -- a revenue surplus of \$1,826. And, a tax factor of 86.28 percent was applied to that, which increased the revenue surplus to \$2,117. And, subtracting that amount from the proforma test year water revenue, which was filed by the Company in their filing of \$69,132, we derived the revenue requirement recommended by Staff and agreed to by the Company of \$67,015.

The test year water sales actually earned by the Company during 2009 was \$59,000 -- \$59,006. So, the increase -- the revenue requirement that's being presented today is actually an \$8,009 increase over what the Company earned during 2009, or 13.57 percent.

- Q. Thank you, Mr. LaFlamme. Mr. St. Cyr, I just wanted to put this revenue increase in perspective and ask you a general question. Can you please describe what fueled the filing of this rate case?
- A. (St. Cyr) The specific trigger was the 2009 financial results. In my introductory letter in the filing and throughout my testimony on the schedules, I showed that the Company had actually lost \$5,807 during 2009.

That's the specific trigger that triggered this rate case.

- Q. Thank you. Mr. LaFlamme, a question back to you, on

 Page 7 of the Settlement Agreement, where you describe
 the summary, including the average rate base. Do you
 have an opinion as to whether the rate base included in
 this Settlement is prudent, used and useful?
- A. (LaFlamme) Yes. It's Staff's belief that the rate base is prudent, used and useful.
- Q. Now, when you were going through your summary, you listed that there were some adjustments that were made.

 Are there any that stick out as noteworthy that you would like to bring to the Commissioners' attention?
- A. (LaFlamme) Yes. If you turn to actually Pages 13 and 14, which is Attachment A, Schedules 3 and 3a, those provide the adjustments that were -- that were made by Staff to the Company's filing. The biggest adjustment that was made was Adjustment Number 14, which increase the Company's operating expenses by \$4,600. That was based on the fact that the Company has updated their affiliate agreements or management fees and rent. And, as a result of those updated agreements, it's increased the annual management fees and rental fees by \$4,600.
- Q. Okay. Mr. St. Cyr, I'd like to ask you a question

- about this affiliate agreement. Can you please
 describe whether the Company has an agreement to file
 soon?
 - A. (St. Cyr) The Company has with us today the new agreements between Sarah Brown and the West Swanzey Water Company. They include the management and administration, as well as some rent. These are modifications of the existing agreements and are reflected in the Settlement Agreement.
- 10 Q. And, will you be making that filing today?
- 11 A. (St. Cyr) Yes, we will be.

- Q. Thank you. Mr. LaFlamme, back to you. And, again, looking at Page 7 of the Settlement Agreement, there's a rate of return of "5.27 percent". Can you please explain why Staff is of the opinion that that is a reasonable rate of return?
 - A. (LaFlamme) Yes. As I indicated earlier, the calculation of that rate of return is found on Schedule 1a, which is Page 8 to the Settlement Agreement. Staff believes it's a reasonable rate of return. It's based on the balance of the two bank loans that the Company had outstanding as of the end of 2009. And, it also reflects the actual interest rate on those bank loans as of 12/31/09, as you can see in

- the middle of that schedule, 6 and a half percent and
 3.75 percent. Also reflected is the owners' equity in
 the Company as of 12/31/09, and applied to that is a
 9.75 percent return on equity, which is -- which is
 consistent with the return on equity that's been
 approved by the Commission for other small water
 tilities.
 - Q. Mr. St. Cyr, I have a question for you, about when was the last time the Company was in for a rate increase?
- 10 A. (St. Cyr) It was 1988, more than 20 years ago.
- Q. Mr. Lenihan, I have a few questions for you. And, I'd like to draw your attention to Exhibit 2, Page 3, and the paragraph "Rate Design and Effect on Customer Rates". If you can just let me know when you're at that page.
- 16 A. (Lenihan) I have that page.

9

19

20

21

22

23

- Q. Does the Settlement Agreement recommend any changes to the Company's present rate design?
 - A. (Lenihan) Yes, there is one, one change. And, that is to the meter charge or customer charge, the fixed quarterly charge. The current charge is \$6.82 a quarter. The Company proposed that that charge be increased, primarily because the real low end users on the system, by virtue of their low consumption rate, it

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

was believed suggested that they weren't paying a sufficient amount to maintain the system. Staff asked that further analysis be prepared by the Company, which it did, and it submitted various increases, amount increases to the customer charge. Staff reviewed that information. And, as a result of Staff's review, it was offered that the rate -- that the customer charge increase to the \$18 rate per quarter. I think the Company had requested initially that the increase be \$7.99 -- I mean, the new rate be \$7.99, up from 6.87 [6.82?]. Staff didn't have -- did not object to that, to the concept of putting more of the revenue on the fixed charge. And, as a result of negotiations with the Company, the \$18 per quarter customer charge was agreed to and is recommended to the Commission for approval. Mr. Lenihan, I think you may have answered the Q. question, but I just want to ask you directly. are the benefits of increasing this fixed charge as Staff and the Company have agreed to do?

A. (Lenihan) Well, the benefits -- the benefit is that the higher end users are no longer paying a disproportionately higher share of the fixed costs needed to maintain the system. And, as I said, the low

end users were not paying -- it's believed that they were not paying a sufficient amount to cover a lot of the fixed costs that -- a lot of the fixed costs that, in some utilities, are covered under a consumption charge. Since water is very highly capital-intensive, the variable costs of water are not nearly as great as those with gas, natural gas, or electricity. So, people who don't use, who don't take the product, obviously don't pay that contribution to the fixed charges.

What Staff has proposed -- what Staff and the Company is proposing today is to bring that fixed charge up, so that it more adequately reflects the fixed costs that are there, that the Company has to cover to maintain the system, whether or not service is taken, and also shifts it away from the normal higher users that burden of covering fixed costs for the customers that are in the very low end of the spectrum.

Q. Mr. Lenihan, I'd like to have you turn to Page 17 of the Settlement Agreement, and it's entitled "Calculation of Customer Rates". And, the question I have is, relating back on Page 3, we talk about or the Settlement Agreement notes that there's a "13.57 percent" overall increase to the revenue of the

Company. On Page 17, when the chart breaks it down by customer, we're seeing "164 percent increase". And, I would just ask you to explain how it is that it happens that this page reflects "164" or thereabouts percent increase for some customers?

A. (Lenihan) The "164 percent increase" is to be applied to the customer -- to the meter charge. The old \$6.82 charge is now \$18 per quarter. That's where the "163 percent increase" comes from. As I said, the initial request by the Company was to raise the customer charge from 6.82 to 7, I believe 7.99 per quarter. And, after discussions with the Company, and the Company's suggestion that it be allowed to recover more of the fixed charge, more of the costs providing service through the fixed charge, that they raise the fixed charge in order to cover these, more of the fixed costs. That's why the "167" -- I mean "164 percent increase" is applied to the customer or meter charge.

The fire protection charges were increased in accordance with the overall company revenue increase, which was 13.57 percent. Just the larger increase to the customer charge just provides the Company with more revenue from the low end users. That's -- the effect to the customers, which is based

- on a varying consumption, is found on the bottom of

 Page 3 in the Stipulation. So, the more the customers

 use, the less the impact of the rate increase.
- Q. Mr. Lenihan, another question on Page 17. As I guess illustrated with this calculation of customer rates, there are 75 residential customers and 5 fire protection customers, is that correct?
- 8 A. (Lenihan) That's my understanding.
- 9 Q. And, where we look down to "Private Fire Protection"
 10 and the percent rate increase is "13.57", there was no
 11 rate design change for those particular customers, is
 12 that correct?
- 13 A. (Lenihan) That's correct. The increase is equal to the
 14 overall revenue increase that's identified in the
 15 Settlement.
- Q. Okay. And, the other -- the residential customers were the only ones that saw that rate design change --
- 18 A. (Lenihan) That's correct.
- 19 Q. -- that threw off the percent increase?
- A. (Lenihan) It should be noted that, because the

 consumption charge is only going up approximately four

 cents per hundred gallons, that the customers will not

 see a large increase in the consumption charge. And,

 that minimum increase to the consumption charge is then

offset by the increase in the customer charge. So, the
overall impact, again, it will vary depending upon
where the customer falls in the overall consumption
pattern. But, as it says on the bottom of Page 3,
there's an illustration of how the effect -- how the
increase in the rate design change will impact various
users.

Q. Mr. Lenihan, I'd like to move forward to Page 5 of the Settlement Agreement, specifically Paragraph E, "Bill Forms". And, I'd like to just show you a document and have you describe it for the record.

(Atty. Thunberg distributing documents.)

BY THE WITNESS:

A. (Lenihan) Counsel has handed me a document, which is a bill form that indicates when and what the reading -- what the last meter reading was, and what the current meter reading is that forms the basis of the consumption charge, which is then added to the customer charge.

MS. THUNBERG: Thank you. And, I believe we've premarked this for identification as "Exhibit 3".

- BY MS. THUNBERG:
- 24 Q. And, Mr. Lenihan, on the last -- the last sentence to

[WITNESS PANEL: St. Cyr~LaFlamme~Lenihan]

- Paragraph E says "West Swanzey and Staff agree to work together in order to resolve these and other bill form deficiencies within 30 days of the date of this agreement." Has Staff and the Company reached agreement on the bill form?
- A. (Lenihan) I believe there is agreement. As to whether or not it's officially submitted, I have to double-check.
- 9 Q. Can I ask you a question? On Exhibit 3 that you just
 10 reviewed, the bill form, is it your understanding that
 11 that form is what the Company plans to use to conduct
 12 its billing?
- 13 A. (Lenihan) That's my understanding.
- 14 Q. And, is Staff in agreement with that form?
- 15 A. (Lenihan) That's correct. That's correct.
- Q. Thank you. So, with Staff having that Exhibit 3, would you consider this 30 day deadline, Paragraph E, to have been completed?
- 19 A. (Lenihan) Yes.
- Q. Thank you. Mr. St. Cyr, I'd like to turn to you and ask you a couple questions. On Page 4, Paragraph C,

 "Step Adjustment", identified in the Settlement

 Agreement. And, I'd like to just have you explain the nature of the proposed capital improvements.

A. (St. Cyr) The Company plans to rehab its Well Number 2, to clean it out to see if it can improve the access to the water and the efficiency of the operation. And, at the same time, either repair or replace the pump that draws water from that well.

- Q. Is there any change to the current estimate of "\$33,000" that's contained in the Settlement Agreement?
 - A. (St. Cyr) Not currently. The Company has gone back to its well and pump guy and has asked for a more detailed estimate. But the current estimate that we're working with is \$33,000.
 - Q. Okay. Can you please describe the time frame over which the Company plans to complete this work, and also just describe the steps the Company is going through?
 - A. (St. Cyr) Yes. Once the Company has a more detailed estimate in hand, it plans to use that as a basis to prepare a financing petition to seek PUC approval of the amount of the financing, and at the same time use that as a basis to take to the bank and specifically seek a letter of commitment, which would identify the terms of the financing. Once it has the bank commitment letter in hand, and has PUC approval, it would then borrow the money, the work would be done in the spring/summer. And, once the work is completed,

- the Company would then submit a filing indicating the actual cost incurred and requesting the step increase for recovery of those costs.
- Q. Mr. St. Cyr, there is no deadline provided in Paragraph
 C. And, is the Company amenable to amending this
 paragraph to include a deadline for filing for its step
 for these particular capital improvements, a deadline
 of December 31st, 2011?
- 9 A. (St. Cyr) Yes, it is.
- Q. And, I ask that question to Mr. LaFlamme. Is it

 Staff's position that it would be amenable to changing
 this paragraph to include a "December 31st, 2011"
 filing deadline for the step adjustment for these
 improvements?
- 15 A. (LaFlamme) Yes.

20

21

22

23

- Q. Thank you. Mr. St. Cyr, this paragraph includes an estimate on the potential revenue requirement impact.

 Do you expect those to change?
 - A. (St. Cyr) Yes, I would. Once the actual costs are known, certainly, the amount would change. We're currently using "33,000". We would use whatever the actual amount is. In this calculation, the Company is also using an 8 percent interest rate. It believes it can probably get a lower interest rate on a variable

1 rate, maybe something similar to 8 percent on a fixed 2 It has to work out those terms with the bank. 3 But the revenue requirement would be based on whatever the actual interest rate is for the loan. So, those 4 5 two components in particular would change. And, these 6 are estimates that the Company would plan to replace 7 with its actual costs, when the financing is completed and the work is done. 8

- Q. I assume that, if the Company is making a filing for a financing approval, it will have more firm numbers than what are included in this Agreement?
- 12 A. (St. Cyr) Yes, it would.

9

10

- Q. Thank you. Mr. St. Cyr, I'd like to draw your

 attention to Page 5 of the Settlement Agreement, and

 the paragraph entitled "Rate Case Expenses". And, does

 the Company have a estimate of its rate case expenses

 at this time?
- 18 A. (St. Cyr) Its estimate is approximately \$8,000.
- Q. And, can you please describe when the Company expects to file its rate case expenses?
- A. (St. Cyr) The Company expects that over the course of
 the next week or two the Company can get a filing
 before Staff for their review. The Company would hope
 to be able to reach a joint agreement -- a joint

- recommendation with Staff, and would hope that it could
 be incorporated in the PUC's order in this case.
 - Q. And, does the Company -- would the Company agree to amend this paragraph to include a 30 day deadline, and that 30 day tolling from the date of this Settlement Agreement? Would the Company agree to a deadline?
- 7 A. (St. Cyr) Yes, it would.
 - Q. Mr. LaFlamme, I'd ask you a question about is Staff agreeable to amending this rate case expense paragraph to include a deadline of 30 days by the date of the Settlement Agreement for the Company to file its rate case expenses?
- 13 A. (LaFlamme) Yes.

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

- Q. Thank you. Mr. Lenihan, do you believe or do you have an opinion as to the just and reasonableness of the rates that are proposed in this Settlement Agreement?
- 17 A. (Lenihan) Yes. I believe that the rates as proposed in the Settlement Agreement are just and reasonable.
- Q. And, Mr. LaFlamme, do you have an opinion as to the just and reasonableness of the rates included in the Settlement Agreement?
- A. (LaFlamme) Yes. It is my opinion that the rates that are proposed are just and reasonable.
- Q. And, Mr. St. Cyr, do you have an opinion as to the same

1 question to you?

- 2 A. (St. Cyr) Yes. On behalf of the Company, we, too,
- believe that the Settlement Agreement is just and
 reasonable.
- 5 MS. THUNBERG: Staff has no further direct for the panel. Thank you.
- 7 CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you. Commissioner

8 Below.

- 9 CMSR. BELOW: Good morning.
- 10 BY CMSR. BELOW:
- Q. Mr. St. Cyr, with regard to the step adjustment for
 2011 capital improvements, the final sentence says
 "this step adjustment should be applied equally on a
 percentage basis to its rate classes." What do you
 understand that to mean? Would that be a uniform
 percentage increase on both fixed and variable rates?
- 17 A. (St. Cyr) Yes, that's our understanding.
- 18 Q. Okay. And, is that the same for Staff?
- 19 A. (Lenihan) Yes.
- 20 A. (LaFlamme) yes.
- Q. Okay. And, I think Ms. Thunberg asked you both about a deadline for filing a step increase, but didn't -- you didn't indicate what -- how long -- what deadline might be reasonable. You indicated that it would be

[WITNESS PANEL: St. Cyr~LaFlamme~Lenihan]

- reasonable to have a deadline or you would be agreeable
- 2 to it. What do you think would be a reasonable
- deadline for filing of a step adjustment?
- 4 A. (St. Cyr) Actually, I believe Ms. Thunberg proposed
- 5 that it be amended so that the Company would file it
- 6 before December 31st of 2011.
- 7 Q. Okay.
- 8 A. (St. Cyr) And, the Company would certainly plan to do
- 9 that within that time frame.
- 10 Q. Okay. Maybe I missed that. Thank you. Just out of
- curiosity, the private fire protection is for sprinkler
- 12 systems for some municipal or commercial customers?
- 13 A. (Lenihan) Yes, that's correct.
- 14 Q. And, I think there's testimony that the 75 customers
- 15 who take -- consume water were residential. Is it
- possible that a few of those are commercial, such as
- the four with 2-inch meters?
- 18 A. (Lenihan) Yes.
- 19 Q. Okay.
- 20 A. (Lenihan) Larger users.
- 21 Q. Okay. And, generally, are these mostly year-round or
- are there some seasonal homes mixed in?
- 23 A. (St. Cyr) These are year-round homes.
- 24 CMSR. BELOW: Year-round homes. Okay.

[WITNESS PANEL: St. Cyr~LaFlamme~Lenihan]

```
1
       That's all. Thank you.
 2
                         CMSR. IGNATIUS: No questions.
                                                         Thank
 3
       you.
 4
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: Anything further, Ms.
 5
       Thunberg?
 6
                         MS. THUNBERG: No redirect. Thank you.
 7
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Hearing nothing,
       then the witnesses are excused. Thank you, gentlemen.
 8
 9
                         I take it there's no objection to
10
       striking identifications, so the exhibits will be admitted
11
       into evidence. Is there anything prior to a closing
12
       statement?
13
                         (No verbal response)
14
                         CHAIRMAN GETZ: Hearing nothing, then,
15
       Ms. Thunberg.
16
                         MS. THUNBERG: Yes.
                                              Thank you,
17
       Commissioners, for your time. And, we respectfully
18
       request that you approve the Settlement Agreement and the
       terms and the amendments that were offered at the hearing
19
20
       today. As the witnesses have explained, it's been 20
21
       years since this Company has had a rate increase.
22
      has worked with the Company. It is a small operation.
23
       We've tried to minimize its rate case expenses by working
24
       on its rate design and getting the affiliate agreements
```

1	up-to-date. So, we feel like we've combed through pretty	
2	thoroughly this Company, and that the Settlement Agreement	
3	represents a good revenue for it going forward. And, the	
4	Company and the Staff will be continuing to work together	
5	on the step adjustment to see that through completion.	
6	And, thank you again. And, we	
7	respectfully request your approval of the Settlement	
8	Agreement as amended. Thank you.	
9	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Thank you. Mr. St. Cyr.	
10	MR. ST. CYR: Just that the Company	
11	obviously supports the Agreement. And, it appreciates	
12	having worked with Staff and coming to an agreement in the	
13	Settlement, and would respectfully request that you	
14	approve the Agreement. Thank you.	
15	CHAIRMAN GETZ: Okay. Thank you. We'll	
16	close the hearing and take the matter under advisement.	
17	MS. THUNBERG: Thank you.	
18	(Whereupon the hearing ended at 11:04	
19	a.m.)	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		